THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T. MEENA KUMARI

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

W.P.No.20282 of 2005

Date: 21.11.2005

Between:

A paper clipping of Eenadu Telugu Daily Newspaper published on 11.09.2005 at page No.15 under the caption "Naaku Nyaayam Cheyyaru".

…. Petitioner

and

The General Manager, Government Mint, Khairatabad, Hyderabad & another.

….. Respondents.

THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T. MEENA KUMARI

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

W.P.No.20282 of 2005

ORDER: *(per the Hon'ble Smt. Justice T. Meena Kumari)*

This is a taken up case on the paper clipping of Eenadu daily newspaper, dated 11.09.2005, to call for the records and remarks from the respondents relating to (i) non-payment of pensionary benefits and gratuity of one Md. Abdul Rasheed, who died 24 years ago while in service in I.G.Mint, to his widow, namely Smt.

Ajmiri Begum; (ii) non-providing employment on compassionate grounds to the son of the deceased; (iii) misplacement of case records filed in a Court by the authorities concerned and (iv) inaction of the concerned authorities in spite of being addressed a letter in this regard by his Excellency the President of India.

The first respondent has filed the counter-affidavit denying the allegations in the paper clipping. It is specifically mentioned at paragraph 4 of the counter that in pursuance of the orders dated 17.1`0.2005 of the Hon'ble High Court, the records piror to 1975 have been verified and found that one Sri Abdul Rasheed, T.NO.507, was appinted on 03.02.1972 as Mazdoor and his services were terminted with effect from 08.06.1973, as such the said individual is not entitled to any pension benefits as per rules.

It is submitted by the leaned Assistant Solicitor General across the Bar that Abdul Rasheed was terminated from services with effect from 08.06.1973 and in that view ofi the matter his widow Ajmiri Begum is not entitled to receive any pensionary benefits.

In view of the submission made by the learned Assistant Solicitor General and in view of the averments made in the counter, We are of the view that no further orders are necessary in this writ petition and accordingly, the same is liable to be dismissed.

The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed.

(T. MEENA KUMARI.J)

(K.C. BHANU.J)

Date: 21.11.2005

ksld